

United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/069,460 06/11/2002		06/11/2002	Francis Pruche	2365-35	3379	
23117	7590	04/14/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		RHYE, PC	LAMM, N	LAMM, MARINA		
1100 N GLI 8TH FLOO		.D		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714				1616		
				DATE MAILED: 04/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	1				
		10/069,460	PRUCHE ET AL.	·				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Marina Lamm	1616					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 C	October 2004.						
		s action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims		·					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-19 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 and 17-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	·					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.			d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat onty documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage					
Attachmen		<u>.</u>						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D						
3) 🛛 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 10/18/04; 3/22/05.		Patent Application (PTO-152)	,\				

Application/Control Number: 10/069,460 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/18/04 has been entered.
- 2. Claims pending are 1-15 and 17-19. Claim 16 has been cancelled. Claims 1, 13 and 14 have been amended. Claims 17-19 are new.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Weber et al. (US 6,341,831).

Weber et al. teach skin decoration apparatus and method of decorating human skin by applying on the skin multicolored designs using ink jet printing technology. See Abstract; Figures. Multiple biocompatible inks or dyes are carried from their respective

Art Unit: 1616

reservoirs and sprayed onto the skin from multiple electronically controlled nozzles, thus allowing a predetermined image or pattern to be placed on any part of the human body. See Abstract; col. 6, lines 32-48; col. 7, lines 1-11; Claims 7, 9. Weber et al. teach using rapid-drying inks in a volatile solvent. See col. 6, line 59. Water-soluble inks may be used for making test decorations which can be evaluated and washed-off. See col. 6, lines 44-46. Weber et al. teach using Wirejet[™] technology. See col. 2, lines 48-56. With respect to the limitation "a chosen coloration or make up design image taking into account the characteristics of the part to be treated being viewed prior to said applying", the designs of Weber et al. can be stored in an electronic control system, viewed and selected by the user prior to the application. See col. 7, lines 5-11; Claim 9.

Thus, Weber et al. teach each and every limitation of Claims 1, 2, 5, 7-15 and 17-19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 3, 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber et al. (US 6,341,831).

Weber et al. applied as above. With respect to Claims 3 and 4, Weber et al. teach using Wirejet[™] technology which has certain advantages over other ink jet technologies. See col. 2, lines 48-56. However, a drawback of the Wirejet[™] technology

Art Unit: 1616

is that it has limited resolution of 500 dpi. See col. 2, lines 56-60. Weber et al. also describes other conventional inkjet technologies such as thermal technology and piezoelectric technology. See col. 1, line 56 – col. 2, line 35. The advantages of the thermal technology include enhanced resolution up to 1200 dpi. See col. 2, lines 12-15. The advantages of piezo method include better control over the shape and size of ink droplet release and enhanced resolution up to 1600 dpi. See col. 2, lines 25-37. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Weber et al. such that to use either thermal or piezo method. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to obtain enhanced resolution as suggested by Weber et al. above. With respect to Claim 6, the reference does not explicitly teach the claimed concentration of at least one solvent. However, the determination of optimal or workable concentration of the solvent by routine experimentation is obvious absent showing of criticality of the claimed concentration. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this to obtain the desired intensity of the ink and/or rheology of the ink composition.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the Weber et al. reference have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that "the cited art does not teach or suggest, for example, the selection of a coloration or make up design image taking into account the

Art Unit: 1616

characteristics of the part to be treated, as presently claimed." See p. 7 of the reply. In response, it is noted that Weber et al. teach that the "image can be of various sizes depending on the parts of the body to be decorated and the image sizes to be produced". "The size of the area to be decorated can be determined by simple physical measurements or by using a calibrated electronic camera and computer system. An electronic camera system could also be used to determine the topography of the surface to be printed." See col. 7, lines 12-30. Thus, Weber et al. teach the claimed limitation of taking into account the size of the area and topography, which are characteristics of the part to be treated.

Conclusion

9. No claim is allowed at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marina Lamm whose telephone number is (571) 272-0618. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 11am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached at (571) 272-0887.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

GARY KLINZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

ml 4/10/05